

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/852,776	05/09/2001	Michael S. Steele	ISAA0013	5228	
22862	7590 12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
	TENT GROUP N WAY, SUITE L		HAILU, TADESSE		
	RK, CA 94025		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2173		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,776	STEELE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tadesse Hailu	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	lay 2001.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	a oloolon roquiromone.	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	I (d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the f	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the prity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(ast priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120(ast priority under 35	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional applic r in an Application Data S ceived. and/or 121 since a spec	heet.
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the patent application number (09/852,776) filed May 9, 2001.

- 2. The submitted Information Disclosure Statements with references are considered and entered in the application.
- 3. The submitted formal drawing are considered and entered in the application.

The pending claims 1-15 are examined as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. <u>Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Card et al (US 6,646,652 B2).</u>

As described in the *Field of the Invention of Card et al*, Card relates to the field of information visualization and in particular to the display of representations of linked information based on user interest. The present invention describes and claims a plurality of "Design Principles". Likewise, Card et al also describes similar teachings as claimed in the present invention.

With regard to claim 1:

Card describes a method and system to enable a user to view <u>large</u> collections of linked information on a computer based display (see Card, abstract).

As per "displaying in a strategy view an on screen part of a strategy that is not affected by an off screen part of said strategy;" Card describes since displayed trees will mostly fit in the display bounds, thus, there will not be off screen linked information to affect the important node (column 6, lines 24-45; column 13, lines 54-61);

As per "showing detail in said display where it is important;" Card also describes full size (detailed) displays of the pages can be displayed alongside the tree using a suitable web browsing application. Card further describes related relevant nodes are always given more space (detailed) in the standard layout while the user is browsing the tree (column 13, lines 57-61, and elsewhere).

As per "always showing a condition path in said display;" as described above, since the displayed trees will mostly fit in the display bounds, thus, the condition path will always be shown for the user to traverse through each segment of the tree (column 3, lines 65-column 4, lines 12; column 13, lines 54-61);

Art Unit: 2173

As per "providing said display without scroll bars;" Card is also describing navigating <u>large</u> collections of linked information on a computer display without using scroll bars (see abstract);

As per "providing navigational shortcuts for traversing said strategy view;" similarly, Card provides navigation shortcuts, such as clicking a segment or a node for traversing from one segment to another segment (column 13, lines 20-25);

As per "providing navigational cues in said display;" similarly, Card describes animation to show tree transitions (navigational cue) (column 12, lines 45-57);

As per "fitting as much information on said display as possible;" again, Card describes that displayed trees will mostly fit in the display bounds (column 6, lines 24-45; column 13, lines 54-61);

As per "maintaining a consistent top of the strategy-children orientation in said display;" Card, as illustrated in Fig. 2, shows the display of a uniform tree of 4 levels, with 4 branches at each level and the focus on root node 201 (column 8, lines 20-45);

As per "fitting said display into a rectangular view;" as illustrated in the drawing figures, Card fits all display tree into a rectangular view (see figs. 2-5, 7-10); and

As per "rendering all strategies." Card also describes rendering the linked information (column 14, lines 39-64).

With regard to claim 2:

Independent claim 2 corresponds generally to independent claim 1 and recites similar features in storage medium form, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

With regard to claim 3:

Art Unit: 2173

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" As described above, Card describes a method and system to enable a user to view <u>large</u> collections of linked information (strategy) on a computer based display (see Card, abstract).

As per "wherein if a portion of said strategy is not being viewed, it has no effect on layout of a visible portion of said strategy;" Card describes since displayed trees will fit in the display bounds, thus, there will not be off screen linked information to affect the important node (column 6, lines 24-45; column 13, lines 54-61);

As per "wherein said strategy layout is dynamic and adaptable to a current portion of said strategy being viewed;" (see Card, column 5, lines 41-47);

As per "wherein a user may view, in its entirety, a portion of said strategy on which said user currently wants to concentrate." (See Card, column 3, lines 46-54; column 8, lines 32-45, column 11, lines 53-58).

With regard to claim 4:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract);

As per "defining a single segment of said strategy as a focal point of said display;" (column 7, lines 1-23); and

As per "displaying segments with less detail the farther away they are from said focal point." (Column 7, lines 38-55; column 8, lines 32-45).

With regard to claim 5:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

Art Unit: 2173

As per "always displaying a set of conditions needed to reach a single segment currently selected as a focal point." (Column 3, lines 65-column 4, lines 12; column 13, lines 54-61); With regard to claim 6:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

As per "instead of providing scroll bars, accomplishing navigation by hopping from segment to segment." (See Card, abstract, Summary, column 13, lines 20-25).

With regard to claim 7:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract);

As per "wherein selecting any segment makes that segment a focal point;" (column 7, lines 1-23); and

As per "wherein selecting any element in a decision path makes a corresponding segment the focal point." (Column 7, lines 1-23; column 8, lines 32-64);

With regard to claim 8:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

As per "providing navigational cues which may comprise smooth, double-buffered animation transitions." (Column 5, lines 56-58; column 12, lines 45-57).

With regard to claim 9:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

As per "using available display space to provide extra context for a focus node;" (column 5, lines 56-58; column 12, lines 45-57);

As per "eliminating redundant information;" (column 12, lines 10-44); and
As per "rendering information as compactly as possible." (Column 8, lines 65-column 9, lines
64; column 12, lines 10-44);

With regard to claim 10:

As per "...widths of nodes and levels are only wide enough to fit a widest label." (column 8, lines 65-column 9, lines 64; column 12, lines 10-44);

With regard to claim 11:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

As per "maintaining a consistent top of the strategy-children orientation;" (see figs. 2-5, 7-11);

As per "wherein a top of the strategy is always at a center, left most portion of said display." (See figs. 2-5, 7-11; column 8, lines 20-45);

With regard to claim 12:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

As per "fitting said display into a rectangular view;" (see figs. 2-5, 7-10);

As per "wherein said strategy layout is dynamic and adaptable to a current portion of said strategy being viewed." (See Abstract).

With regard to claim 13:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

As per "wherein no assumption is made about a form of said strategies that are being rendered;" (column 5, lines 1-18, column 16, lines 16-51).

As per "wherein every strategy that a user or software provider creates can be displayed." (abstract, column 5, lines 1-18).

With regard to claim 14:

As per "providing a strategy; providing a strategy view display of said strategy;" (see Card, abstract); and

as per "selecting a portion of said strategy to display by choosing a branch of said strategy view to display and optionally how many levels of said branch to display." (column 7, lines 37-59; column 7, lines 20-45; column 9, lines 44-64).

With regard to claim 15:

As per "...a branch segment is either displayed in its entirety or completely hidden." (column 11, lines 35-52).

CONCLUSION

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Tadesse Hailu, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2799. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 - 6:30 ET. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at (703) 308-3116 Art Unit 2173 CPK 2-4A51.

Art Unit: 2173

Page 9

6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Tadesse Hailu

Nov 21, 2003

JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100